UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number:	23-1259		
Short Case Caption:	Google LLC v. Sonos, Inc.		
Party Name(s):	Sonos, Inc.		
schedule cases for oral a motion to reschedule is re	court uses this form to determine whether and when to argument. Arguing counsel may be changed later, but a equired once the court schedules argument. Please plan in limit on the number of arguing counsel in Fed. Cir. R. 34(e)		
Argument Waiver	☐ My party intends to waive oral argument.		
may still schedule thi	this box must still complete the below sections. The court s case for oral argument even if any party intends to cheduled, parties may still elect to waive argument using foral argument form.		
Other Parties Repres	enting Interests		
☐ Counsel for another party will represent my party's interests at oral argument			
	cked, skip the remaining sections. Any argument date will flict dates for counsel arguing on behalf of your party.		
Name of Expected Arg	guing Counsel Elizabeth R. Moulton		
•	navailability within the specific sessions identified by the of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?		
☐ Yes ✓ No			
include a statement s cause or that do not pe accepted. The court will has filed an entry of appe or rejected conflict dates	the sheet listing up to ten dates of unavailability and howing good cause for each date . Dates without good rtain to arguing counsel (e.g., client conflicts) will not be lonly accept dates for one counsel and only if that counsel earance. The Clerk's Office will evaluate and note accepted s; counsel may contact the Clerk's Office about re-filing if Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.		

Potential Case Conflicts			
Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?			
✓ Yes □ No			
If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.			
I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.			
Date: <u>02/02/2024</u>	Signature:	/s/ Elizabeth R. Moulton	
	Name:	Elizabeth R. Moulton	

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

GOOGLE LLC,

Appellant,

v.

SONOS, INC.,

Appellee.

STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE

Counsel for Sonos, Inc. ("Sonos") hereby provides the following statement of good cause to support each listed day on Appellee's Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts.

- 1. I am arguing counsel for Appellee Sonos in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. The Court has asked arguing counsel to advise of scheduling conflicts for its sessions from November 2023 through April 2024.
- 3. Previously, I submitted conflict dates of April 1-5, 2024 as I am counsel in WSOU Investments, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 6:21-

cv-00128-ADA (W.D. Tex.), which was set for trial to begin on April 1, 2024. The trial in that case has been rescheduled to begin on June 3, 2024.

4. The submitted conflict dates of April 1-5, 2024 were accepted on September 12, 2023, but are no longer required. While the court has not yet requested conflict dates beyond April 2024, I would like to note my unavailability for the dates of June 3-7, 2024 due the rescheduled trial. Additionally, I would like to note my unavailability for the dates of July 8-12, 2024, as I am counsel in *Corrigent Corp. v. Cisco Systems*, *Inc.*, No. 6:22-cv-00396-ADA (W.D. Tex.), which is set for trial to begin on July 8, 2024.

Date: February 2, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Elizabeth R. Moulton

Elizabeth R. Moulton ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 773-5700

Counsel for Appellee

Attachment

Do you have dates of unavailability within the specific sessions identified by the court's Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?

- April 1, 2024 (previously accepted but no longer necessary)
- April 2, 2024 (previously accepted but no longer necessary)
- April 3, 2024 (previously accepted but no longer necessary)
- April 4, 2024 (previously accepted but no longer necessary)
- April 5, 2024 (previously accepted but no longer necessary)

Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?

• IGT v. Zynga Inc., No. 23-2262